

Stockholm group



EETS, the next steps

J.W. Tierolf

Overview

- Stockholm Group
- Assessment of EETS process
- Outstanding Issues
 - CE marking
 - Business case
 - Trust
- Governance
- Stockholm Group Actions
- Conclusions

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Stockholm Group

- Informal Platform of National Authorities for interoperability
- Mission: promoting interoperability while protecting interests
 - Citizens, economy (national and EU), financial risks
- Members: 12
 - AT, CH, DE, DK, FI, FR, HU, NL (chair), PL, SE, SLv, UK
- Mode of operation:
 - Exchange best practise and plans
 - Co-ordinate positions
 - Influence EC policies and –legislation and EU processes
 - Develop strategies and solutions
- State of affairs
 - Decisively influenced EC Decision on EETS
 - Initiatives for implementation process EETS with ASECAP and EETS Providers

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Assessment of process

- Basic legislation in place;
- Member States answering obligations
 - National register of EETS domains (7-7-10)
 - EETS toll domain statements (or by TCs) (7-10-10)
 - Conciliation bodies to be installed
 - Notifying Bodies
 - Facilitating registration of EPs (once CE-marked OBE exist)
- EU-level (a.o.)
 - Cesare 4 report and Application Guide v1
 - Co-ordination Group of NoBo's
 - CEN standards ready or tbd.

But... apart from individual obligations still a number of outstanding issues of common nature need addressing

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Outstanding issues

Apart from the legal obligations for the partners a number of practical issues need to be addressed before EETS can become reality:

- **CE-marking**
 - Specifications
 - Key Performance Indicators
- **Business Case**
 - Moderate revenues
 - Potentially high costs
- **Trust & Clarity**
 - TCs to EPs (a.o. registration criteria)
 - Access to (reasonable) requirements for EPs

CE-marking

- Process: consecutive chain
 1. Specifications
 2. NoBo's design certification process + OBE designed to specifications
 3. OBE offered for CE-marking
 4. OBE built to specification
 5. SP's can apply for registration as EP
 6. EP can start negotiating first contract (incl. possible field test)
- Progress: 1. Specifications
 - DSRC charging (real time) 90+%
 - ? Security for satellite charging (non real time), (independent advice: adequate level = "non-trusted network")
 - No set of minimum Key Performance Indicators yet



Stressed timeline, or security risks

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Business case

EPs need business case or will not enter market

- Comparison with Telecom flawed; EETS rather special
 - Volume interoperability Telco [10's B€]
 - Volume interoperability EETS 100 x less
- ➔ Low income, therefore costs should be low
- Potential cost drivers
 - 150 TCs with 150 different TDS
 - ✓ Each requiring different set of parameters and conditions
 - ✓ Each requiring separate field tests
 - ✓ Each requiring bank guarantee
- Approach
 - Harmonisation
 - Integration/clustering of Toll Domains and growth from regions
- Directive incentive for increasing number of partial initiatives
 - EasyGo etc. creating business environments for interoperability



ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Metering and factoring: 4 models

		Metering:	
		Self	3 rd party metering
Collecting:	Self	Classic supplier	Utilities (NL)
	3 rd party, Factoring	Mobile phone abroad, other factoring	Interoperable autonomous tolling

 3rd party selected by supplier

 3rd party selected by client

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Trust

- Much at stake for MS/TC
 - E.g. Billions of € tax revenues; risks should be minimal
- Are criteria for EETS status of SPs sufficient?
 - Financially sound, ISO 2001, Competence in tolling or billing
 - Considerations:
 - ✓ MS/TC must accept any SP with EETS status, which might be acquired much (too?) easier in some MS than in others
- Uncertain business environment for EPs negotiating contracts
 - Accessibility of TDS
 - Reasonable requirements?
- Approach
 - Accessibility of TDS
 - Harmonizing (actual) requirements

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Governance

- Governance of two processes
 - the process towards implementation (first priority)
 - in the operational phase
- Interoperability management (IM) is distributed
 - Individual Member States
 - Toll Committee
- Outstanding issues lack problem owner, governance, common platform and workplan
- Stockholm Group, is trying to adress a number of issues and started consultations with other parties, but can't do it alone

There's need for a mechanism of EU-level governance and workplan

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Stockholm Group actions

- Continuously exchange national approaches and progress
- Discuss issues with external parties
- Specifications
 - Discuss specification issues
 - Minimum European performance indicators; project
- Criteria for accreditation of EPs (art. 3)
 - Investigate harmonized detailed set
- Business case for EETS providers
 - Harmonised template for national register and EETS TDS
 - Investigate TDS → promote harmonisation and clustering
- Timetable
 - Keep checking progress against (stressed) timetable

ASECAP Think Tank 2010

Conclusions

- Apart from legal obligations for MS a number of practical issues of common nature still need to be addressed before EETS can become operational (it is not an easy market as Telecom)
 - ✓ In the areas of specifications, business case and trust
- Stockholm Group is addressing a number of issues and started consultations of other stakeholders
- Governance and a workplan at EU-level are required
- Results should lead to: input for CE marking, voluntary agreements lowering the cost side, a new decision
- Private initiatives are starting to create some (non-EETS) business environments, interesting to see how they could help

“Interoperability is arriving but work to be done before full EETS”